

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
1	19740.16 & 22672.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, RMS ownership	The local area does not need additional medium density housing as the real estate market is already saturated. The park is a pleasant green strip and supports wildlife. Is a developer involved in this proposal? Ownership of the land is not clear.
2	19741.16	Conditional Support	Loss of views for adjoining owners	Support is given subject to any development not interfering with views. An access from High St to Beach Road would be a benefit to local residents.
3	19742.16	Conditional Support	Loss of views for adjoining owners	No objection stated but concerned about potential loss of views.

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
4	19789.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	These are the only toilets readily accessible by people in vehicles, especially long vehicles such as RVs. Hundreds of people walk along Beach Road. This is the only toilet between Batehaven and Clyde St.
5	19938.16	Object	Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff	Appalled that Council would contemplate this proposal. Council raised rates to maintain community facilities and now Council proposes to sell a community facility. At a time that the Watergardens are being decimated Council proposes to remove more trees elsewhere. Council should be more visionary and not have a blinkered, developer's proposal. Should be appealing to tourist who are the lifeblood of the community.
6	28469.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area	<p>The proposal to rezone Albert Ryan Park for a multistorey development is an absolutely appalling idea.</p> <p>A Department of Main Roads plaque in the middle of the Park clearly states: "This land has been proclaimed a PUBLIC RESERVE and placed under the care and control of the council". I am surprised that none of the councillors, and particularly the councillor who first proposed the idea, knew about this sign!</p> <p>The cliff above Albert Ryan Park is quite beautiful. I suggest the councillors should visit it. It is a Batemans Bay landmark and a great natural welcome to visitors (who are the blood life of the town).</p> <p>Does anyone really think that slapping a building in front of the cliff could improve things?</p> <p>Eurobodalla relies on natural beauty to attract tourists, and it seems perverse to destroy such a lovely site so close to the centre of Batemans Bay!</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
7	19984.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Should only sell lot 11	While there might be a financial return, the proposal would be to the detriment of visitors and locals alike. The toilets and the park are well used and enable people to park and use the shops and restaurants. Also you would take away facilities for the residents and replace them with units that would be vacant for most of the year. I am concerned about losing my views and the effect on the value of my property. Why not sell off lot 10 as it is adjacent to the private lot that is on the market and retain and upgrade the rest of the park?
8	20112.16	Object	Aboriginal heritage, Additional noise from the additional units, Coastal hazards, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff, Traffic impacts from the additional units	The proposal will threaten our views and result in the removal of trees. The trees add to the landscape and reduce the extra traffic noise from Beach Road. You are depriving us of our lifestyle of removing green space from the townspeople. The park is of heritage and Aboriginal significance. The park & toilets are far from underutilised. At holiday times it is very busy with caravans, campervans and boat trailers. There is limited parking of this kind in town. From here the drivers can appreciate the town and the bay and use the shops. The proposal is also contrary to ESC's flooding and sea level rise policies.
9	20460.16 & 26904.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	To sell off this park is absurd. We need the parking, especially for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets. This park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by tourists and locals. We need more parks and not more shops or holiday accommodation in the Bay. What a shame it is all about money. Why not sell the parkland in Moruya if you want to raise money? A supporting petition with eight names is attached.

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
10	20689.16 & 24339.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff	<p>We are very concerned with and strongly object to the potential loss of green zone between residences and Beach Road, the loss of trees as habitat for wildlife, and the risk to the stability of the land.</p> <p>The park has a high percentage of vegetation which provides habitat for flora and fauna, a green buffer between residences and Beach Rd and stability to the land surface.</p> <p>It is ridiculous to open the area for residential housing when potentially subject to coastal hazards.</p> <p>There is plenty of appropriately zoned land in the area for housing and this proposal should be abandoned right away.</p> <p>The Council and the NSW government got it right when they classified and dedicated the land for public recreation and that's how it should stay.</p> <p>They land is valuable to the community, visitors and the environment alike.</p> <p>We believe the park and area needs proper planning.</p> <p>As an adjoining owner, we would be more than happy to be part of a planning group to foster the parks improvement and utilisation in conjunction with Council and other interested parties.</p>
11	20011.16	Object	Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I will not be affected by the proposed building but others will lose their views.</p> <p>The parking areas are well used by the drivers of cars and long recreational vehicles.</p> <p>The park and toilets are also well used.</p>
12	20794.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>I object to the sale of the park to greedy developers. If sold there will only be the Clyde St park.</p> <p>The last thing we need is more high rise on our waterfront and especially on public land.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
13	20994.16		Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	Albert Ryan Park should be better policed to tackle drinking in the area. This should not be used as an excuse to sell it.
14	21020.16	Object	Council should use recent rate rises to fund infrastructure, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	The land was bequeathed to Council as a recreation area and should remain as such as it is well used by visitors and travellers. Parking for long vehicles is not abundant in the town centre. The recent rate rise was granted to provide infrastructure with no mention of the need to also sell this land.
15	21022.16	Object	Council should use recent rate rises to fund infrastructure, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	The park is used on a daily basis because of its location and convenience. It is used by travellers and locals, especially those with caravans, campervans and boat trailers. We need more of these parks and public toilets to cater for an ageing population. Council should make better use of the rate rises it gained rather than raising more funds through the disposal of this land.

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
16	21156.16 & 26789.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Conflict of interest – a councillor’s family could benefit from the “new road” to High Street, Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Public consultation process is illegal as it doesn’t comply with the Act with regard to planning proposals to amend the LEP, RMS ownership	This green space close to the CBD is a treasure. It has aesthetic appeal and is well used by the community and travellers. Tourists do not come to the area to see endless rows of units lining the waterfront. The proposal is in conflict with Council's Interim Coastal Hazards Code. The proposal includes vague terminology and unsubstantiated claims with unclear and contradictory information. What is the "residue" that would be retained?
17	21201.16 & 22240.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, RMS ownership	The park was named after Albert Ryan, a historical figure in Batemans Bay. The park is used frequently by visitors and tourists. The RMS and not Council owns lot 10, so the sale cannot proceed.
18	21711.16 & 26085.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Park should be upgraded and not sold	I reject the idea of a financial benefit, of more high rise on our foreshore and the blackmail tactics in saying that the proceeds could be used for more community infrastructure. Council must look after the integrity of its towns. Our history is being degraded and is almost gone. Most of our foreshore has been developed to the point of extinction of most of our heritage. This is a simple park that should be judged by its attractive appearance and not by the numbers of users. Everything is not about \$\$\$\$. Council needs to find its soul. The Bays footprint has almost been obliterated, while Moruya, Mogo, Bodalla and Narooma still retain much of the charm of their past. There is one place left on our foreshore that retains the remnants of the Bays past and that stretches from the old School house and school rooms along to the end of Albert Ryan Park. This park is really a jewel along our foreshore. It doesn’t need swings and roundabouts and climbing apparatus to make it appealing. It doesn’t need a certain number of people using it to make it important. Its importance is in its simplicity. We don't need more motels/shops/tea rooms. There are similar businesses in the area and most in the Bay are struggling, this would just be added pressure. This park does not have to be a playground for children, as noted it is close to a very busy road. It could be utilised as a day park for travellers. It is so close to the CBD that travellers would spend time and money locally. I feel quite strongly about its sale and development, and would hope that Council will come to agree to keep it as it is, with a few strategic upgrades.

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
19	21865.16	Object	Green space is essential to wellbeing, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>I object in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>The planned CBD development makes retaining Green space essential for our wellbeing.</p> <p>Allowing park facilities to deteriorate, as has been done with our Water Gardens, and earlier with the Coal Bunker wharf, can only be viewed as a deliberate act by misguided Council and staff.</p> <p>Another heritage link to Bay pioneers discarded in favour of dollars. Witness also Francis Guy warehouse etc.</p> <p>Strip development? We are not the North coast!</p> <p>More empty holiday units a "better and higher use" than a shady park with toilet and water facilities How arrogant.</p>
20	22011.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, No compelling evidence to support the proposal, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>Buildings on the park could block our views. Our properties could lose value and Council could be liable for compensation.</p> <p>There is no compelling evidence to support the sale of the land for residential development. There are other comparable sites in the area for residential uses.</p> <p>The park should not be reduced in size as it would lead to a loss of the amenity of the area.</p> <p>We have lost the marina parkland to developers, the Council has turned the Watergardens over to the bats and were not maintaining the gardens any such that they had deteriorated. This proposal adds to the loss of parkland in the area.</p> <p>Also, habitat for the whipbirds would be lost, the land is vulnerable to flooding and Council would have to compensate for any losses to a future owner and finally the sale would dishonour the memory of Albert Ryan Park.</p>
21	22097.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Green space is essential to wellbeing, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>The park is not underutilised, rather it is in constant use. It is a real asset to the town in terms of parking, picnic area and public toilets. 600m to the nearest toilets is too far especially for those with children.</p> <p>We do not want development crowding the waterfront.</p> <p>It is also good for our community health.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
22	22200.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>It is the first place tourist can stop after passing through town.</p> <p>It has a beautiful view and is very popular spot to use the facilities.</p> <p>Byron Bay is booming with tourists because they are not like this council trying to sell of our parks to developers.</p> <p>The park should be improved like the one on the north side of the bridge.</p> <p>Leave them for us to enjoy.</p>
23	22206.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>The area is attractive and well used by tourists who park and enjoy the picnic area and the toilets.</p> <p>It would be a shame to lose it to developers instead of the dollar-spending tourists.</p>
24	22241.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>The park is frequently used by people eating lunch and enjoying the view. It is a green belt that is attractive to people and provides an urban environment that is a pleasant and healthy place to live.</p> <p>People are frequent uses of the long-vehicle parking capability of the site.</p> <p>Council should be maintain and care for our very limited open park lands and not be selling them off for private profit.</p>



ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
25	22353.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	This is a well used park and a lovely spot that is close to town and very user friendly.
26	22545.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>We don't need more high rise. Keep this as the Nature Coast and not the Gold Coast.</p> <p>The nearest public toilets are too far away for the likes of people like myself.</p> <p>Commercial drivers are always using the facilities.</p> <p>The tourist who are attracted to the site spend money in the town.</p>
27	22575.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Green space is essential to wellbeing, Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>I object to the proposal as the park is a "higher and better use" than another building complex lining Beach Road.</p> <p>Developers would gain but be left with a property decreasing in value due to sea level rise.</p> <p>Buildings would replace pelicans, lorikeets and trees.</p> <p>Council is elected by the people but grabbing public land and selling it for short term gain is not looking after the peoples interests.</p> <p>Parks have increasing value in a growing town in terms of amenity, health and enjoyment. They should be there for generations to follow.</p> <p>It is in constant use and is valued by residents and visitors. It was granted for the use of the people.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
28	22642.16	Object	Green space is essential to wellbeing, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I am very upset about your proposal.</p> <p>The people of the Eurobodalla and tourists will lose a lovely park.</p> <p>I look forward to seeing the bit of green space with the cliff rising up behind.</p> <p>Why do you want to get rid of something that is enjoyed by many for the benefit of a few?</p> <p>Not only do we enjoy the park but future generation will also.</p> <p>Studies show that green space have significant and tangible benefits on a community's health and wellbeing.</p> <p>With land prices so low, the potential gains will be minimal compared to the loss of valuable public space.</p> <p>Deals involving Councils often seem to end up with the developer getting a valuable site at the expense of the community.</p>
29	22658.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold	The park should be improved and not sold as it is well used.
30	22668.16	Object	Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>Albert Ryan left this land for the benefit of locals and visitors. He would not want it sold and developed.</p> <p>Shame on those two councillors.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
31	22907.16	Object	Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>I reject this proposal for the sale of Albert Ryan Park.  We need to grasp history as a point of interest to and for our town.  I believe our town has been disregarded and hammered by bad decisions and poor choices and many because of greed and obscene, unachievable goals.  We have the potential to make our town both profitable and attractive, but every time someone wants to do something they are restricted and stifled. Well, we are absolutely sick of it !  Ideas for that area include:  1. Gardened with a wide variety of plant life, invite the community to donate a plant and buy a plaque with your family name on it. Encourage schools to garden it up as well as semi-retired people and put the community back together.  This town needs a community ! It's amazing what we could do for you, the Council, if only you would let us!  2. Second idea would be an area specifically for caravans and RVs to park and rest/eat and take a photo of our beautiful river. These ideas are simple, but it has to be something that is useable to all of us, not just some one who wants flashy units or shops that no one can afford to rent.  Surely some one in Council can speak, see and listen to the people who after all gave all of you a place. Well we ask you to consider us, and if not we cannot promise you we will be considering your position ever again.</p>
32	23004.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I am opposed to the sale of Albert Ryan Park on Beach Street, Batemans Bay.  Council's role should be to maintain our parks &amp; public toilets, not to make a quick cash grab by selling off our parks &amp; reserves resulting in the loss of more mature trees &amp; public space.  Albert Park Reserve toilets are used by locals &amp; visitors as it is easy to access, especially for tourists towing caravans &amp; boats.  This is also a pleasant area to just stop &amp; view the riverscape.</p>
33	23018.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Green space is essential to wellbeing, Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I object to this proposal on a number of grounds including:  1. Public amenity, tourism, passive recreation, general physical and mental wellbeing.  The park is frequently used by visitors.  To remove the park would be to remove an easily accessible recreation experience for many people. This is a serious adverse social effect, with the potential to affect many individuals.  I find it hard to understand how removing this opportunity for passive recreation in this unique location close to the CBD and replacing it with built structures could possibly be described as a 'higher and better use of the land'.  The areas close to the park are full of residential and tourist accommodation and the addition is totally unnecessary.  To sell this irreplaceable community asset for short term financial gain would be a disservice to the citizens of Bateman's Bay as well as the many tourists who visit the area both now and in the future.  To remove parkland right at the entrance to Batemans Bay is to diminish the tourist experience of arriving at the Bay.</p> <p>2. Future risk of inundation  The land is in an area where the Council's own Interim Coastal Hazard Adaption Code has recommended no new building. To ignore this risk for future owners, as well as for future rate payers if the Council is held accountable for the rezoning and sale of land that was identified as 'at risk'.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
34	23069.16	Object	Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>I am writing to express my dismay at the proposed rezoning and sale of most of Albert Ryan Park. Batemans Bay is a very beautiful place but it does not have a great deal of green space. It would be a great pity to lose this one, so close to the shopping centre.</p> <p>It is a place where a car towing a caravan can stop while the occupants take time to look around at what we have to offer. There are several blocks of land along Beach Road for sale for development. They have not sold and neither have many of the units and houses for sale in the immediate area. More buildings along this part of Beach Road are just not needed, the park is.</p> <p>It would be a much better idea to spend a small amount of money beautifying this little park. Please, plant shrubs and flowers in Albert Ryan Park, not buildings.</p>
35	23179.16	Object	Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>Council says that the increase in rates was to maintain community facilities and now you propose to sell one. The sheer duplicity of this council beggars belief.</p> <p>That park is used throughout the year by locals and visitors alike. The park provides car parking for the town. You are depriving the town of business when you are supposed to be promoting it. The removal of the trees would endanger the stability of the cliff. The bird life in the area would be suffer from the loss of habitat. In 10-20 years, the units could not look very good with washing hanging on balconies and the creation of slums. Also the increase in traffic congestion on Beach Road. The more I think about the proposal the more I find it unbelievable.</p>
36	23288.16	Object	Park should be upgraded and not sold, RMS ownership	<p>The proposal is unbelievable.</p> <p>If this proposal were to be approved, the implication could result in the credibility of council members being trashed throughout the Shire! Tourism is the lifeblood of the local economy. Council should enhance the park for the continued use by tourist and local ratepayers alike.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
37	23303.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife	<p>I grew up in Batemans Bay. It's been forty years since I moved away, and the appearance of the town has not improved in that time. I think there might still be one original building left in the main street, and that's the Bayview Hotel. Not a pretty sight. Successive councils have allowed all the original buildings to be torn down. The main street of Batemans Bay would now be one of the ugliest of all the coastal towns along the South Coast. A redeeming feature has always been turning that corner into Beach Road and seeing the view, the pelicans, the open space at Albert Ryan Park. And now you want to sell that? I would have thought someone from the Innes family would have been a little more sensitive to preserving some sense of the old Batemans Bay. Selling that park is the wrong thing to do, the town does not need another tacky hotel and/or coffee shop. And what if it drives away the pelicans?</p>
38	23364.16	Object	Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>I object to selling Albert Ryan Park. The park was donated by Albert Ryan for the enjoyment of locals and visitors. A set of apartments would give little joy to locals and visitors. Our Council past and present seem hell-bent on getting rid of anything of historical value.</p>
39	23577.16	Conditional Support	Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners	<p>I support the proposal on the condition that any development on the site does not interrupt my water views.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
40	23782.16	Object	Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	I'm totally against the rezoning of Albert Ryan Park, it's absurd that Council would even think about taking a beautiful park away from the people of Batemans Bay and its many visitors. To say the park and its utilities are under utilised is ridiculous. SHAME ON YOU.
41	23932.16	Object	Park should be upgraded and not sold	I do not agree with what the Council wants to do to sell Albert Ryan Park. You should upgrade the Park and make it more enticing to people and put new tables and sitting as you have done on the Korner's Park on the northern side of the Clyde River. Also upgrade and clean the toilets.
42	25034.16	Object	Do not need additional shops or holiday accommodation in B/Bay, Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Traffic impacts from the additional units	I am opposed to the proposal as the park is in regular use and more use would be made of it if some maintenance was undertaken. There are too few parks available for tourists and resident in and around Batemans Bay. There are many blocks of vacant with Beach Road frontage available in the area for residential development. Some have approval. It is not necessary to sell the park and add to a crowded market. Other things to consider are the additional traffic to the congestion on Beach Road and the height of the development and the consequential negative impact on amenity.

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
43	26352.16	Object	Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>I am writing in relation to the re-zoning of part of Albert Ryan Park.</p> <p>I feel an improvement of day use facilities (picnic tables and toilets) would be far better for the local community and visitors.</p> <p>A playground for children and special play equipment for children with disabilities would, I'm sure, be greatly appreciated by local families.</p> <p>There has been a lot of development in the Bay over the last 30 years or so, and it would be nice to see this green space, stay as green space.</p>
44	26177.16	Object	Loss of green space, parks and recreation area	<p>I would like to vent my disapproval at the purposed development of Albert Ryan Park Beach Rd Batemans Bay.</p> <p>The park is a pit stop for locals and tourist, we stop there every Sunday to have lunch after shopping, there is always someone parked and taking photos of the Clyde River.</p> <p>I feel the park belongs to the public and tourists and it should always stay that way.</p> <p>There are too many motel coffee shops as it is in the same area, shop keepers now can't make a descent living, please do not loose the park to a small minority.</p> <p>I feel it holds a great significance to many of the elder community members, and if it was to be sold off to put dollars in the council coffers will create more distance between the community and council.</p>
45	26663.16	Object	Aboriginal heritage, Green space is essential to wellbeing, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage	<p>The environment is priceless.</p> <p>We've already lost reserves to development.</p> <p>Albert Ryan park was a regular meeting place for the indigenous people.</p> <p>Tourism is the lifeblood of the community. We've lost other areas where tourist can stop. Let's not lose Albert Ryan Park which ties Murra Mia Walkway, the Soldier's club, the hospital, fire station Anglican Church and restaurant. It enables people to rest without having to cross four lanes of Beach Road.</p> <p>As our population and traffic develop, the park will become even more priceless and a recreation space.</p> <p>Green space is important to our mental health.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
46	26824.16	Object	Loss of park will deter tourists from visiting the area, Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>I am writing to give my objection that the Albert Ryan Park be sold to a developer for any sort of buildings or to raise funds for the council. I feel that this is an important park on the southern side of the river that is used regularly by both locals and tourist. Because of its size larger vehicles are able to use it. The above photo which were taken by us in April show these such vehicles in the car park and we did notice the driver and passenger eating, using the toilet and walking to the river taking photos. If this park was not there then these people would have not stopped in town and before we arrived they could have easily been to the shops.</p> <p>You can also see the local taxi stopping and using the toilet as well as cars stopping just to use the toilet. I however would like to see the parks toilets updated and being able to be locked at night like the other parks, and modern picnic table and chairs like the ones on the northern side of the river.</p> <p>I would also like to see some use of the bricks from the old store that is being demolished in Clyde St as garden beds with low maintenance shrubs.</p>
47	27898.16	Conditional Support	Park should be upgraded and not sold, RMS ownership, Should only sell lot 11	<p>Albert Ryan Park was the site of a depot run by the Department of Main Roads who formed the cliff by cutting into the hillside to accommodate the depot. I am not aware of any connection between the land on which the park is located and Albert Ryan. Council could retain lot 10 as parkland and landscape it. Lot 11 could be sold and integrated with 20 Beach Road (cnr with Pacific Street) so that a development could be located opposite Spinnaker Reach and the two could form 'gateposts' to mark the boundary between the CBD and the recreation/residential areas to the south. We are happy to work with Council to facilitate the combined sale of the two lots to a single developer. We have previously approached Council with draft plans for apartments on 20 Beach Road and a small portion of lot 11.</p>
48	28025.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I wish to register my opposition to the proposal. My objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That Albert Ryan Park has intrinsic value on environmental and recreational grounds. It represents valuable and increasingly rare green space in an area already crowded with coastal development. Using Alfred Ryan Park for intensive building development is hardly a better use for a space which is regularly used and enjoyed by visitors and community members alike. Also many people use the amenities block, including visitors with long vehicles, such as caravans and cars with boat trailers because the park provides suitable parking. This park also represents habitat and Council should be setting an example by conserving biodiversity rather than furthering environmental degradation. The park represents existing community infrastructure and warrants Council's protection. It is shameful that such a resource is viewed as a 'fund raiser'.</li> <li>2. That there are potential hazards associated with building on this land due to projected climate induced rises in sea level. The Council's proposal to develop the park shows an irresponsible and negligent approach to town planning. The proposal was put out for public comment and discussion, without any mention of the potential hazards associated with building on this land. The proposal robs citizens of valuable, shared infrastructure and ignores the guidelines for responsible and intelligent town planning. For these reasons the proposal should not go ahead.</li> </ol>



ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
49	28471.16	Object	Loss of parking for the long recreational vehicles with access to public toilets, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>Since the degradation of the Water Gardens, Albert Ryan Park is the only greenscape near the CBD in which other flora and fauna can thrive. Many of the users of the park are 'grey nomads' and drive long vehicles. They can park here, enjoy the views and walk into town. The traffic from the new development would negatively impact on the flow on Beach Road. Any existing traffic studies should be made public. Unless you impose and enforce a severe height restriction my views would be unacceptably compromised.</p>
50	28487.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>Albert Ryan Park is a well-positioned parcel of land that long ago came into Councils care, serving the people of Batemans Bay and visitors alike. Its location, within easy walking distance to the town and offering parking, toilets and picnic recreational areas is well-used and is a valued asset for our community.</p> <p>I urge Council to recognize the value of the park as an open space for the present and future generations and to retain the land as parkland. The removal of the trees would significantly reduce the abundant bird life in the park and surrounding properties. This would adversely impact upon the residents living above the Park who not only lose flora and fauna, but also the welcome shade and buffer that the trees provide.</p> <p>Despite height restrictions, the buildings may well impact upon the amenity and value of the adjoining residences. Council should reconsider reducing parkland adjacent to the town centre as the water gardens area is no longer suitable for recreation and enjoyment. As Batemans Bay experiences further growth, parkland like Albert Ryan Park will become increasingly valued by the community.</p> <p>I encourage Council to re-think the proposal and perhaps look more towards improving park amenities which will attract increased patronage. The benefits of keeping the land as parkland far outweigh the benefits that may be gained by the sale of the property.</p>
51	28489.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Loss of views and/or privacy for adjoining owners, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>Albert Ryan Park is a well-positioned parcel of land that long ago came into Councils care, serving the people of Batemans Bay and visitors alike. Its location, within easy walking distance to the town and offering parking, toilets and picnic recreational areas is well-used and is a valued asset for our community.</p> <p>I urge Council to recognize the value of the park as an open space for the present and future generations and to retain the land as parkland. The removal of the trees would significantly reduce the abundant bird life in the park and surrounding properties. This would adversely impact upon the residents living above the Park who not only lose flora and fauna, but also the welcome shade and buffer that the trees provide.</p> <p>Despite height restrictions, the buildings may well impact upon the amenity and value of the adjoining residences. Council should reconsider reducing parkland adjacent to the town centre as the water gardens area is no longer suitable for recreation and enjoyment. As Batemans Bay experiences further growth, parkland like Albert Ryan Park will become increasingly valued by the community.</p> <p>I encourage Council to re-think the proposal and perhaps look more towards improving park amenities which will attract increased patronage. The benefits of keeping the land as parkland far outweigh the benefits that may be gained by the sale of the property.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
52	29182.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park should be upgraded and not sold	<p>I object to the rezoning as proposed by Council.</p> <p>The existing park and toilet block get a lot of use and should be upgraded.</p> <p>Council could rezone the area east of High Street on the south eastern corner for low rise development (max 2 stories).</p> <p><b>ALL FUNDS FROM ANY SALE MUST THEN BE USED SPECIFICALLY TO UPGRADE ALBERT RYAN PARK OR OTHER PARKS IN THE BATEMANS BAY CBD</b></p>
53	28880.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	<p>I support of the continuance of Albert Ryan Park.</p> <p>This park and toilet facility needs to stay in operation as it is one of the very few in the area where one can pull off the road and be assured of parking right next to the toilet.</p> <p>As an older male, sometimes when nature calls we don't have the time to drive around for minutes, park and then walk a distance to the loo.</p> <p>As one of your volunteer community drivers I am often driving clients around Batemans Bay on a tight schedule and have found this park essential at times for myself and clients</p>
54	28773.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area	<p>I object strongly to this proposal.</p> <p>This nature strip, with its attractive cliff, is the last place to build an apartment block. A multi-storey block would look ugly and out of place: hemmed in by a cliff at its back and a busy road at its front.</p> <p>The land is a public reserve, and well known as such. Keeping the park for everyone—residents AND tourists—is far better.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
55	29328.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, RMS ownership	<p>The issues of the rezoning and reclassification of Albert Ryan Park is endless and just the history alone warrants this land to be retained as a park given the death of Evelyn Adelaide Ryan who was burned to death in a fire which destroyed her parents residence which was located on the land now known as Albert Ryan Park. According to information from Batemans Bay Museum, Albert left the land for the enjoyment of the community and a monument to Evelyn in a beautiful maintained garden should now be erected.</p> <p>In research conducted it has been revealed that Lot 10 is not owned by the Eurobodalla Shire Council and there is now some doubt of the ownership of Lot 11.</p> <p>This land has been identified as "potentially subject to coastline hazards (including sea level rise)" and the question should be asked why would the Council spend rate payer's money by having staff investigate this issue of rezoning and reclassification?</p> <p>At a meeting at the Batemans Bay Library on Friday 6th May 2016 residents in the area voted unanimously against this proposal and there was an offer from the residents to help improve the area.</p> <p>Albert Ryan Park still identifies the foreshore of Beach Road and while many years may have passed since the death of Evelyn this park retains that history.</p> <p>Both the Mayor and Cllr Innes have indicated that they will be guided by the majority of the community over this issue and to date those that I have spoken to are overwhelming against this proposal.</p>
56	29453.16	Object	Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Traffic impacts from the additional units	<p>I strongly object to the proposal to sell off Albert Ryan Park. To state that it is under utilised is false, the park and its facilities are frequently used by both locals and visitors.</p> <p>It is a popular spot for visitors to eat their fish &amp; chips and view the Clyde away from the congested CBD. The toilets are used by the shared path users &amp; local taxi drivers who do not have many places 'to go' whilst on duty. The picnic facilities are frequently used by visitors. Albert Ryan dedicated this space for community use many years ago and it should be retained as community green space. In 20yrs time, we will wonder where all the green space is so close to the CBD, where can we view and reflect upon the Clyde River, where can we sit and rest. To sell it off for development is not well thought out in respect to traffic management/road safety consequences due to the increase of traffic in and out of this land onto Beach Rd so close to CBD, Pacific &amp; Herarde Sts intersections.</p>
57	29484.16	Object	Coastal hazards, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Park is part of Batemans Bay heritage, Risk to existing housing through destabilisation of the cliff	<p>In relation to Albert Ryan park, a full and better submission will be submitted by others, but I wish to add my voice that it would be appalling to lose Albert Ryan Park. The tradies, taxis and travellers depend on the toilets there; the travellers and locals stop to enjoy the view and rest.</p> <p>The area is unstable if any drilling or dynamiting were done, and it is in the zone of extreme concern re sea level rises and flood events. What are you thinking of?????</p> <p>Having lived in Byron Bay and seen the mess they have made by chasing dollars I am appalled to come to Batemans Bay and see a council hell-bent on doing the same. The tourism and money values should NOT shape our town. The tail should NOT wag the dog.</p> <p>Heritage is still important in this town—thank goodness—and respect for it, and the amenity of beauty and foreshore access for the general public should NOT be diminished (In Europe it is hard for the ordinary folk to get to the shore—do NOT let that happen here!).</p> <p>"Better"—in what way and who says? Please show me the community survey and social impact studies that support this assertion.</p> <p>Evidence-based governance? Let us all see the evidence for respect for community by listening to community view and keep our small parks – Albert Ryan being one of them.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
58	21849.16		Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of green space, parks and recreation area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Sell other unused land that was rezoned and reclassified as a result of the ROSS, Traffic impacts from the additional units	I bought my property overlooking the park for the serenity of the area and not to overlook rooftops of medium density buildings. The park is well used by visitors for its parking, toilets and picnic areas. Not a lot of other areas to easily park a caravan and enjoy the water views. If Council wants to increase their coffers, sell other land in the Shire.
59	21854.16		Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Loss of trees & habitat for wildlife, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc	I do not believe that building on the land would be a "higher and better use". It already has a valuable function as a rest area. Not many places where you can sit in the shade and enjoy the view of the bay. This should not be transferred to developers. The public has a right to more consideration. Council should not proclaim the "Nature coast" and then proceed to destroy as much nature as possible. Council should be protecting our public green spaces, trees and habitat.
60	22652.16	Object	Aboriginal heritage	<p>As a heritage organisation we are concerned about the loss of any reference to pioneers of the district. The Ryan family were significant in the development of the Bay, which the naming of this park reflects, in terms of oyster-farming, agriculture and the timber industry. In many shires such a contribution would be advertised, not disregarded. Even an historic mile marker within the park is chipped and overgrown, a fact we notified to Council for action some time ago. It is hypocritical to claim it would be 'restored as a point of historic interest' presumably only if the land is re-zoned.</p> <p>Parkland was used by the then DMR to haul out ferries for maintenance - remnants of the wharf timbers can clearly be identified extending into the Clyde river opposite the park.</p> <p>Both the above concerns are worthy of interpretive signage telling the story of that section of the foreshore which retains the low level streetscape of the former schoolhouse, schoolmaster's house, and recognizable cultural landscape elements from well over a century ago.</p>

ID	Folio	Support?	Issue(s)	Summary
61	21837.16	Object	Loss of aesthetic attraction of the area, Park and toilets are not underutilised but is well-used by walkers, tourists, commercial travellers, etc, Traffic impacts from the additional units	A development will add to the traffic on Beach Road. The park is attractive and well used. Do not kill the character of Batemans Bay.